



Figure 1: RemScan™; a handheld infrared device for rapid measurement of TPH in soil.

Rapid In-Field Analysis of Crude Oil Contamination in Soil using a Handheld Infrared Device

R. Stewart¹, G. Webster¹, A. Toop¹, and Teen T. Chin².

¹ Ziltek Pty Ltd, 40-46 West Thebarton Rd, Thebarton SA 5031, Australia.

² ALS Technichem (Malaysia) Sdn Bhd, No 21, Jalan Astaka U8/84, Shah Alam 40150, Malaysia.

Contact Information: richard.stewart@ziltek.com (presenting author); +61 8 8152 9390

Methods

The methodology of the former USEPA Environmental Technology Verification (ETV) Program was used to compare laboratory assay data for TPH in soil with measurements made using the handheld infrared device. The validation study was conducted on a wide range of soil types and petroleum contaminants from different sites around the world including North America, Europe, Middle East, South East Asia (tropical), Africa (tropical), and Australia.

For each site, around 50-100 soil samples were air-dried, screened and scanned with the infrared device and then sent to an accredited laboratory for analysis of TPH (C₁₀-C₃₆) using USEPA Method 3570:8015C. The resulting data was used to calibrate the infrared device for each respective site (using Partial Least Squares regression analysis), and the device was then used to predict the TPH concentrations in a number of blind validation samples from that site. A statistical comparison was made between the infrared device measurements and laboratory assay results including accuracy and repeatability.

Results and Discussion

Table 1: A statistical comparison of laboratory assay data (TPH) and infrared device measurements for a range of different soil types containing various petroleum contaminants.

Site	0-3,000 mg/kg TPH Range				0-100,000 mg/kg TPH Range		Blind Validation? (Yes/No)
	RMSECV ^b (mg/kg TPH)	Correlation Coefficient (R ²)	Detection Limit ^c (mg/kg TPH)	Repeatability (%)	RMSD ^d (mg/kg TPH)	No of Calibration Samples	
1 ^a	124	0.97	66	1.8	822*	60	Yes
2 ^a	88	0.96	64	3.3	n.m.	60	Yes
3	123	0.99	65	2.6	1,225	50	Yes
4	137	0.98	77	2.3	1,293	48	Yes
5	190	0.98	n.m.	<3	1,091	43	Yes
6	170	0.92	n.m.	<3	2,984	111	Yes
7	376	0.99	n.m.	<3	2,888	183	Yes
8	78	0.99	<78	<3	1,271	36	Yes

Footnotes:

^a Data validated by Battelle using the methodology of the former USEPA Environmental Technology Verification (ETV) Program.

^b RMSECV = Root Mean Square Error of Cross Validation

^c Detection limit calculated at 1 standard deviation

^d RMSD = Root Mean Square Deviation

* RMSD calculated between 5,000 - 10,000 mg/kg TPH

n.m. Not measured



Table 2: The range of applications for which RemScan has been used.

Current User Regions	Petroleum Contaminants	Applications	Soil Types
<ul style="list-style-type: none">• North America• Europe• Middle East• South East Asia (tropical)• Africa (tropical)• Australia	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Crude oil (light, heavy)• Diesel• Transformer oil• Mineral oil• Jet fuel (non-volatiles)• Gasoline (non-volatiles)	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Spill delineation• Remediation validation• Site characterization• Bioremediation monitoring	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Sandy (coarse, fine)• Loam• Clay• Heavy clay• Swampy peat




Figure 2: A comparison of laboratory assays with infrared device measurements for soils from Site 1 showing calibration samples (blue) and blind validation samples (red). All data was independently verified by Battelle.



Conclusion

The RemScan™ handheld infrared device can be used as a powerful field screening tool for measuring TPH in soil to complement gas chromatography-based laboratory analysis.